



S96-10

At its meeting of April 22, 1996, the Academic Senate approved the following Policy Recommendation presented by Chris Jochim for the Organization and Government Committee.

PROGRAM PLANNING PROCESS

Resolved, That Sections V. and VI. of S94-2 (Organization of the Program Planning Process at San Jose State University) be amended as follows:

V. Academic Senate Participation in Program Planning

A. The Curriculum and Research Committee (the committee CRC) and the Program Planning Committee (PPC) represents the Academic Senate in the program planning process. The committee PPC must judge whether the review process was conducted in accordance with the published Program Planning Guidelines, and whether the plan represents a reasonable effort to meet the future needs of the students, faculty and community. After its own review of each program plan, the committee PPC may recommend several actions to the Provost:

- Accept the plan without modification.
- Provisionally accept the plan with suggestions for improvement and/or requests for additional information
- Reject the plan for explicit reasons.
- Initiate a program termination review for explicit reasons.

B. If the recommendation is to accept the plan or provisionally to accept the plan, the PPC shall make its recommendation directly to the Provost, with an information copy to the CRC. If any other recommendation is proposed, the PPC shall first present its recommendation to the CRC. After due consideration of all written material considered by the PPC and of any new material presented to the CRC, the CRC shall forward the recommendation of the PPC to the Provost with the CRC's report endorsing or modifying the PPC's recommendation. Before a CRC report is forwarded to the Provost, the PPC chair, designated PPC liaison, and a representative of the program shall be invited to attend a CRC meeting to discuss its proposed report.

VI. Facilitation of Academic Senate Participation in the Program Planning Process

A. Provost/PPC COORDINATION. The Provost conveys to the committee all the information to which it is entitled under this policy. The committee supplies its recommendations (as provided above) either directly to the AVP or via the CRC. The AVP conveys all recommendations to the program under review.

B. INFORMATION. The PPC shall receive copies for all relevant program planning documents and information, including all information provided to outside accreditation agencies or to outside reviewers, as specified in the *Program Planning Guidelines*. The committee will take care to safeguard confidential materials.

C. LIAISONS. The PPC may appoint one or more liaisons to observe each program planning process. These liaisons act on behalf of the committee and shall be invited to all relevant meetings (e.g., exit interviews, college committee deliberations).

D. PROGRAM PLANNING GUIDELINES. In assessing the quality of each program plan, the CRC and PPC will use standards established in the *Program Planning Guidelines*. The University's "Statement of Curricular Priorities" will be incorporated into the guidelines. The committees shall be consulted when these guidelines are modified.

E. OUTSIDE ACCREDITATION. Reviews by external accreditation agencies are considered a *de facto* program plan, provided that such reviews address all criteria of the program planning guidelines. A supplement to an accreditation report addressing those concerns of the University not covered by the outside accreditation process is required.

F. DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY. The CRC and PPC may consult with other operating committees reporting to the CRC when evaluating program plans.

And be it

Resolved, That "AVP" (Academic Vice President) be replaced by the "Provost" throughout S94-2.

ACTION BY THE UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT: Approved by President Robert Caret on May 30, 1996.